

<b>1. Initiator</b>		<b>2. Type of Review</b>		<b>3. RID Number</b>
Name	Feodoroff, Barry	<input type="checkbox"/> General Document Review	00200-111	
Organization	LMSMSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PDR, CDR, <b>ABR</b> , PPR (circle)		
Phone	861-2233	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		
Fax				
5a. Doc. Number	84K00200	6. Doc. Name	System Level Specification (SLS)	
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1			
6. Name of RID Team		SLS RID Review Team		
<b>7. Problem</b>				
<p>Paragraphs 2.2.5.2 Data Health, 2.2.5.3 Data Fusion, 2.2.5.4 Constraint Management, 2.2.5.5 Prerequisite Control Logic, 2.2.5.6, End-Item Management, 2.2.5.7 Test Application Scripts, and 2.2.5.8 User Display: 1.) The introductory sections provide an adequate description of each subject's top level requirement. The subparagraph in each case only begin to list the detail software requirements for each subject. (These are only now beginning to be developed as part of each Thread and CSCI design effort.)</p> <p>2.) Identifying these requirements implies a software architecture that is not specified in the SLS.</p>				
<b>8. Recommendation</b>				
<p>1.) Since the subparagraphs do not collect all the requirements for each subject's software ( they have yet to be developed), reference separate CSCI's, and move the subparagraphs to those CSCI's.</p> <p>2.) Include a section in the SLS that covers the CLCS Software Architecture requirements.</p>				
<b>9. Impact if recommendation not implemented</b>				
<p>1) There will be less need to update the SLS to reflect changes as the system messages software is developed.</p> <p>2) Acknowledge the CLCS proposed software architecture.</p>				
		Initiator - Signature _____		Submission Date _____
<b>10. Team Recommendation</b>		<b>11. Action Required</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Accepted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Study <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn <input type="checkbox"/> Deferred to CLCS CCB Screening Panel <b>Comments</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Update Document <input type="checkbox"/> Study <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) _____  <b>Comments</b>		
RID Team Manager - Signature _____				
<b>12. Final RID Closure Action</b>		<b>13. Additional Comments/Notes</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> RID to be incorporated in next revision <input type="checkbox"/> RID to be incorporated in other (specify) _____  RID Team Manager - Signature _____				

Due **NO LATER THAN** April 30, 1997

## Response Attachment 200-111

- 1) It is the position of the SLS authors and the RID Management Team that introductory and descriptive paragraphs do not levy requirements on Software. The requirements in the specified paragraphs are intended to be and it is the position of the team that they are the top level System Level requirements intended to drive the design. It is the position of the team that there is no value added by adopting the recommendation of this. The RID is therefore, rejected.
- 2) RID 109 and 110 — written by the same author requested that a section of CLCS Architecture Requirements be developed and placed into the SLS. Those RIDs were rejected. Refer to the attachment for RID 109 for the rationale for rejection.